DOI: 10.17707/AgricultForest.62.3.21

Gordana ŠEBEK 1

POSSIBILITY OF PRODUCING ONE-YEAR OLD SEEDLINGS OF THE AUTOCHTHONOUS BRANDY VARIETIES GRAFTING ON THE GENERATIVE ROOTSTOCK IN THE REGION OF NORTH MONTENEGRO

SUMMARY

Plum is the major fruit species in the area of North Montenegro. A study conducted over a period of 4 years in North Montenegro region included in situ identification of autochthonous plum cultivars. Observation and recording of their phenological and pomological traits were performed using IBPGR and UPOV methodologies. Flowering started between 26th March and 12th April and fruit ripening between 13th July (Petrovača) and 18th September (Trnovača). Fruit weight ranged from 6.65 ± 0.235 g to 53.88 ± 0.654 g and stone weight from 0.16 ± 0.003 g to 2.20 ± 0.711 g. The cultivars were classified as being extremely small in terms of fruit size, except for cv. Crvena durgulia (bigger fruit size). Rounded fruit shape and light green ground color were dominant. Skin color ranged from amber to black. Yellow green was a dominant flesh color and medium flesh firmness predominated. The fruits of the above cultivars could be processed, particularly into plum brandy, or they could be used fresh or dried. The selected plum cultivars can be used both in breeding programs and as cultivars for organic plum orchards. This study was made to assess the performance of autochthonous plum cultivars (in situ) and seedling. Producing process consisted of 2 stages: a) initial selection from the population and pomological characterization, b) morphological and quantitative characteristics of one-year old seedlings for autochthonous brandy varieties of plum on Myrobalan seedling (Prunus cerasifera Erhr.).

Keywords: Plum, genetic bases, germplasm, *Prunus domestica L.*, *Prunus insititia L.*

INTRODUCTION

Plum is ranked as the second most important fruit tree crop in the temperature climate after apple from the production point of view. It's tasty and good looking fruits have been used extensively during history as fresh or dried fruits, but also processed as jam, marmalade, jelly and brandy. Plums contain health promoting compounds, minerals, vitamins, fibers, and low in calories and among the highest in antioxidant containing foods and for those reasons are beneficial for human consumption (Botu *et al.*, 2012).

_

¹ Gordana Šebek, (corresponding author: sebek@t-com.me), University of Montenegro, Biotechnical Faculty, Mihaila Lalića 15, 81000, Podgorica, MONTENEGRO.

Notes: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest. Authorship Form signed online.

262 Šebek

Plum cultivation has a historical tradition, economical, social and cultural implication for the Sout East of Europe. The European plum (*Prunus domestica* L.) genetic variability in the South East of Europe is large, unique and particularu evident in the characteristics of the fruit, plant and adaptability to different ecological conditions. In Serbia and Romania 80% of the plums go into the production of slivovitz or tuica.

Fortunately, some of plum cultivars and biotypes exhibit tolerance to *Plum Pox Potyvirus* (Botu *et al.*, 2012). As an example, Elisa test that was conducted on cultivar 'Crvena durgulja', proved presence of Sharka (PPV), however it did not affect the fruits. Also, 'Crvena durgulja' showed as very resistant to other pests and diseases. The cultivar 'Crvena ranka' is slightly susceptible to Sharka. The cultivar 'Komperuša', Elisa test showed absence of Sharka (PPV). Also, 'Komperuša' showed as very resistant to other pests and diseases (Botu *et al.*, 2012).

and number of cultivars used for brandy production 'Požegača' predominant in the assortment. The Montenegro plum production is characterized by extensive growing technology, low unstable yields, low-quality fruit, PPVinduced problems and a multitude of cultivars. The cultivars include Požegača (35%), foreign standard and introduction newly bred cultivars (15%) and autochthonous (local, primitive) cultivars (50%), and their fruit is typically used for brandy production. Autochthonous plum cultivars are a limiting factor in improving plum production in Montenegro. Nevertheless, they are used as an outstanding source of germplasm and as a genetic basis underlying breeding activities, principally the development of new cultivars, clonal selection (Ogašanović et al., 1994; Milošević, 2000), the development of new plum, apricot and peach rootstocks (Paunović, 1988; Djurić et al., 1998), resistance to economically important diseases (Paunović and Paunović, 1994; Rodrigues et al., 2009) or intensive cultivation (Mratinić, 2000). Similar investigations with focus on identical or similar objectives were also conducted in the other countries of the former Yugoslavia - Serbia (Milošević, 2000), Bosnia and Herzegovina (Buljko, 1977; Jarebica and Muratović, 1977), Croatia (Jelačić et al., 2008) and Slovenia (Usenik et al., 2007). In situ investigations of cultivars derived from Prunus domestica L. and P. insititia L. in Serbia were conducted by a number of researchers (Paunović et al., 1985; Paunović, 1988; Paunović and Paunović, 1994; Petrović et al., 2002) who defined important biological, pomological and technological traits of both fruit and tree. They reported that the selected cultivars could be used both as breeding programs and as rootstocks, as well as in further disease-related systematic studies under field and laboratory conditions. The main objective of this study was to determine in situ basic biological and pomological traits of some autochthonous plum cultivars derived from P. domestica L. and P. institia L. in the area of North Montenegro that could be used as a genetic basis and source of germplasm for future breeding studies and as cultivars for organic plum orchards.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The investigations were conducted continuously in years 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013. They involved in situ identification, marking and careful observation of autochthonous plum cultivars (accessions) in the area of North Montenegro.

The researched genotypes or cultivars were selected in Western Serbia (Paunović *et al.*, 1985). Majority of them, eighteen to be exact, derived from P domestica L., while, cultivars 'Trnovača' and 'Turgulja' were derived from *P. İnstitia* L., (Paunović *et al.*, 1985). The sampled trees were aged 35 ('Plavski piskavac') to 55 years ('Turgulja'). The trees of all the cultivars grew on their own roots.

The study focused on few segments. Very first one included recording of the phenological traits - first flowering, full flowering, end of flowering and harvest date. Phenological characteristics were determined as below: the beginning of flowering was recorded when at least 5% of the flowers bloomed; full flowering was accepted when at least 80% of the flowers bloomed, the end of flowering was determined when 90% of the flowers bloomed and corollas began to fall off, and harvest date was established when the fruits were sufficiently colored and soft to be eaten (Funt, 1998). The other segment comprised pomological, i.e. physical [fruit weight (g), stone weight (g) and fruit size (on a scale of 1-9)] and sensorial traits of the fruit [fruit shape (1-6), ground color (1-5), skin color (0-9), flesh color (1-9), flesh firmness(1-9) and fruit usage (1-4)]. IBPGR and UPOV methodologies were used to describe the cultivars in phenological, pomological and sensorial terms (Zanetto et al., 2002). Measurements included the weight of 25 fruits and as much stones per cultivar. Fruit and stone weights were determined using a Metler 1200 technical scale (range of measurement 0.01-120.00 g, precision ± 0.01 g). The data were subjected to statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated by LSD test at P < 0.05 significant level (SAS Institute, 1990).

One-year old seedlings from 20 autochthonous plum cultivars were planted in the nursery and raised seedlings were evaluated for nursery characteristics: plant height (cm), stem diameter (mm), bat take (%) seedling vigor, uniformity and branching. Uniformity was low (grade 1) when coefficient of variation was less than 15%, and high (grade 2) when it was from 15 to 25%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data showed that the onset of flowering was recorded in the last five days of March and in the first twelve days of April (Table 1). The earliest onset of flowering was observed in cultivar 'Trnovača' (26.03) derived from P. institua L., and the latest in cultivar 'Dupljanka' (12.04) derived from P. domestica L. Among the 20 cultivars examined, 8 (40 %) started to flower at the end of March, and 12 (60 %) during the middle of the first twelve-day period of April. The full flowering stage lasted from 30th March ('Trnovača') to 18th April ('Dupljanka'), and the end of flowering from 7th April ('Trnovača') to 24th April ('Dupljanka').

264 Šebek

Flowering lasted 9 ('Turgulja', 'Plavski piskavac', 'Grkaja', 'Kapavac' and 'Komperuša') to 14 days ('Crvena durgulja', 'Mednica', 'Petrovača', 'Belošljiva' and 'Šara').

Table 1. Phenological characteristics of autochthonous plum cultivars in the region of

North Montenegro (2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and average)

Cultivar		location		, 2013 una u	flowering	7		harvest
	longitude	latitude	altitude (m)	onset	full	end	durati on	Date
				25.03.2010	29.03.2010	07.04.2010	13	10.07.2010
Petrovača				26.03.2011	30.03.2011	11.04.2011	16	14.07.2011
	19 ° 41' E	41° 01'N	879	30.03.2012	02.04.2012	14.04.2012	15	17.07.2012
				27.03.2013	01.04.2013	08.04.2013	12	11.07.2013
				27.03 d	31.03 d	10.04 d	14 a	13.07 d
				27.03.2010	02.04.2010	11.04.2010	15	20.07.2010
				29.03.2011	03.04.2011	13.04.2011	15	22.07.2011
Mednica	19 ° 59' E	42° 70'N	670	02.04.2012	06.04.2012	16.04.2012	14	30.07.2012
				31.03.2013	01.04.2013	12.04.2013	12	28.07.2013
				30.03 d	03.04 d	13.04 d	14 a	25.07 d
				01.04.2010	04.04.2010	10.04.2010	9	25.07.2010
17	10.0.2015	400 50DI	074	03.04.2011	06.04.2011	12.04.2011	9	27.07.2011
Kapavac	19 ° 29' E	42° 50'N	974	06.04.2012	09.04.2012	15.04.2012	9	02.08.2012
				02.04.2013	05.04.2013 06.04 c	11.04.2013	9 9 c	31.07.2013 29.07 d
				03.04 c 28.03.2010	03.04.2010	12.04 d 08.04.2010	11	30.07.2010
				30.03.2010	04.04.2011	10.04.2011	11	03.08.2011
Grkaja	19 ° 59' E	42° 70'N	670	02.04.2012	06.04.2011	14.04.2011	12	06.08.2011
Gikaja	19 39 E	42 /01V	070	02.04.2012	03.04.2012	08.04.2013	6	01.08.2013
				01.04 cd	04.04 cd	10.04 d	10c	02.08 d
				27.03.2010	01.04.2010	08.04.2010	12	04.08.2010
				28.03.2011	03.04.2011	12.04.2011	15	04.08.2011
Crvena ranka	19 ° 43' E	42° 59'N	601	01.04.2012	07.04.2012	15.04.2012	14	08.08.2012
				29.03.2013	01.04.2013	09.04.2013	11	04.08.2013
				29.03 d	03.04 d	11.04 d	13 a	05.08 cd
				29.03.2010	04.04.2010	11.04.2010	13	04.08.2010
				31.03.2011	06.04.2011	13.04.2011	13	06.08.2011
Mudara	19 ° 43' E	42° 59'N	601	04.04.2012	09.04.2012	15.04.2012	11	10.08.2012
				02.04.2013	05.04.2013	13.04.2013	11	04.08.2013
				01.04 c	06.04 c	13.04 d	12 b	06.08 c
				27.03.2010	02.04.2010	12.04.2010	16	05.08.2010
				29.03.2011	02.04.2011	12.04.2011	14	07.08.2011
Belošljiva	19 ° 52' E	43° 03'N	850	04.04.2012	06.04.2012	16.04.2012	12	11.08.2012
				30.03.2013	02.04.2013	12.04.2013	13	05.08.2013
				30.03 d	03.04 d	13.04 d	14a	07.08 c
				29.03.2010	03.04.2010	11.04.2010	13	06.08.2010
C-možilivo	10 0 201 5	420 20INT	079	30.03.2011	03.04.2011	13.04.2011	14	08.08.2011
Crnošljiva	19 ° 20' E	42° 38'N	978	02.04.2012 01.04.2013	07.04.2012 03.04.2013	16.04.2012 12.04.2013	14 11	12.08.2012 06.08.2013
				31.03 d	03.04.2013 04.04 cd	12.04.2013 13.04 d	13a	08.08 c
				26.03.2010	30.03.2010	10.04.2010	15a	10.08.2010
				28.03.2010	31.03.2011	10.04.2010	13	12.08.2011
Šara	19 ° 57' E	42° 40'N	900	31.03.2011	04.04.2012	14.04.2011	14	16.08.2011
Juiu	1) JIL	12 701	700	27.03.2012	01.04.2013	10.04.2013	14	10.08.2012
				28.03 d	01.04 d	11.04 d	14a	12.08 c
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	20.00 0	02.014	22.014	• •	12.300

				28.03.2010	03.04.2010	10.04.2010	13	10.08.2010
				30.03.2011	06.04.2011	12.04.2011	13	14.08.2011
Metlaš	19 ° 29' E	42° 51'N	984	03.04.2012	10.04.2012	16.04.2012	13	16.08.2012
				01.04.2013	05.04.2013	10.04.2013	9	12.08.2013
				01.04 c	06.04 c	12.04 d	12 b	13.08 c
				26.03.2010	01.04.2010	11.04.2010	16	13.08.2010
C				28.03.2011	03.04.2011	14.04.2011	17	15.08.2011
Crvena	19 ° 48' E	42° 57'N	870	05.04.2012	07.04.2012	15.04.2012	10	19.08.2012
durgulja				31.03.2013	01.04.2013	12.04.2013	12	13.08.2013
				30.03 d	03.04 d	13.04 d	14 a	15.08 c
				05.04.2010	09.04.2010	12.04.2010	7	19.08.2010
Plavski				07.04.2011	11.04.2011	17.04.2011	10	21.08.2011
piskavac	19 ° 55' E	42° 33'N	940	10.04.2012	14.04.2012	20.04.2012	10	25.08.2012
piskavac				06.04.2013	10.04.2013	15.04.2013	9	19.08.2013
				07.04 b	11.04 b	16.04 c	9 c	21.08 b
				02.04.2010	06.04.2010	11.04.2010	9	21.08.2010
				04.04.2011	08.04.2011	13.04.2011	9	24.08.2011
Turgulja	19 ° 56' E	42° 37'N	910	08.04.2012	11.04.2012	16.04.2012	8	28.08.2012
				02.04.2013	07.04.2013	12.04.2013	10	19.08.2013
				04.04 c	08.04 c	13.04 d	9c	23.08 b
				04.04.2010	08.04.2010	14.04.2010	10	20.08.2010
Obični	400 401 7	100 0 50 7	0.50	07.04.2011	10.04.2011	17.04.2011	10	25.08.2011
Piskavac	18 ° 49' E	42° 26'N	858	12.04.2012	14.04.2012	22.04.2012	10	27.08.2012
				05.04.2013	08.04.2013	15.04.2013	10	20.08.2013
				07.04 b	10.04 c	17.04 c	10c	23.08 b
				07.04.2010	11.04.2010	16.04.2010	9	21.08.2010
V ammamığa	19 ° 49' E	42° 43'N	850	09.04.2011	13.04.2011	18.04.2011 22.04.2012	9 10	26.08.2011
Komperuša	19 49 E	42 43 N	830	12.04.2012	16.04.2012		8	29.08.2012
				08.04.2013 09.04 a	12.04.2013 13.04 b	16.04.2013 18.04 c	9c	20.08.2013 24.08 b
	-			06.04.2010	11.04.2010	19.04.2010	13	29.08.2010
				10.04.2011	16.04.2011	21.04.2011	11	30.08.2011
Mudovalj	19 ° 55' E	42° 33'N	940	13.04.2012	19.04.2012	25.04.2012	12	05.09.2012
- rado ranj	17 00 2	.2 5511	7.0	07.04.2013	14.04.2013	19.04.2013	12	02.09.2013
				09.04 a	15.04 ab	21.04 ab	12 b	02.09 b
				06.04.2010	12.04.2010	19.04.2010	13	06.09.2010
				08.04.2011	17.04.2011	22.04.2011	14	07.09.2011
Dronga	19 ° 55' E	42° 33'N	940	12.04.2012	18.04.2012	24.04.2012	12	13.09.2012
				10.04.2013	13.04.2013	19.04.2013	9	10.09.2013
				09.04 a	15.04 ab	21.04 ab	12 b	09.09 a
				10.04.2010	16.04.2010	22.04.2010	12	07.09.2010
				12.04.2011	18.04.2011	24.04.2011	12	10.09.2011
Dupljanka	19 ° 59' E	43° 02'N	1180	15.04.2012	22.04.2012	27.04.2012	12	14.09.2012
				11.04.2013	16.04.2013	23.04.2013	12	13.09.2013
				12.04 a	18.04 a	24.04 a	12 b	11.09 a
				07.04.2010	13.04.2010	20.04.2010	13	08.09.2010
	4005515	100 0007	0.40	11.04.2011	18.04.2011	22.04.2011	11	09.09.2011
Jesenka	19 ° 55' E	42° 33'N	940	14.04.2012	19.04.2012	26.04.2012	12	15.09.2012
				08.04.2013	14.04.2013	20.04.2013	12	12.09.2013
				10.04 a	16.04 a	22.04 a	12b	11.09 a
				24.03.2010	26.03.2010	04.04.2010	11	13.09.2010
Trnoveše	19 ° 20' E	42° 38'N	979	26.03.2011 29.03.2012	29.03.2011	05.04.2011	10	18.09.2011
Trnovača	19 20 E	+2 30 IN	9/9	25.03.2012	04.04.2012 30.03.2013	12.04.2012 07.04.2013	14 13	20.09.2012 21.09.2013
				25.03.2013 26.03 . d	30.03.2013 30.03 d	07.04 .2013	13 12b	18.09 a
	I	1	1	20.00. u	20.02 u	07.04 0	120	10.07 a
LSD005				6.58	3.45	5.13	2.15	7.79
LSD 001				7.84	4.54	6.11	2.95	8.32

266 Šebek

The harvest period was longer than the flowering period (Gunes, 2003), as it lasted from 13th July ('Petrovača') to 18th September ('Trnovača'). Local plum cultivars began to flower at the end of March or at the beginning of April under the environmental conditions of Serbia (Paunović, 1988; Paunović and Paunović, 1994; Mratinić, 2000; Milošević, 2000). Similar data on the period and duration of flowering of autochthonous plum cultivars were reported by Jarebica and Muratović (1977) and confirmed by the results of this study. Somewhat later flowering under Slovenian conditions was reported by Usenik et al. (2007) and early flowering in the Tokat province (Turkey) by Gunes (2003), the reason being environmental, particularly climate effects (Buljko, 1977). In terms of fruit ripening, the results of this study were similar to the ones obtained by Paunović et al., 1985; Paunović, 1988; and Mratinić, 2000. Measurable pomological characteristics of fruit and stone are given in Table 2.

Fruit weight ranged from $6.65 \text{ g} \pm 0.235 \text{ g}$ ('Plavski piskavac') to $53.88 \pm 0.654 \text{ g}$ ('Crvena durgulja'). Jarebica and Muratović (1977) determined that the plum fruit weight ranged from 14.17 to 41.70 g. Jovančević (1977) reported minimum and maximum values of fruit weights of some local plum cultivars, being 5.03 and 23.86 g, respectively. In the study conducted by Petrović *et al.*, (2002), fruit weight of eight local plum cultivars in Eastern Serbia and in the region of Čacak (Western Serbia) ranged from 15.20-26.40 g and from 6.68-36.50 g, respectively (Paunović *et al.*, 1985). According to Mratinić (2000), fruit weight of autochthonous plum cultivars in a broader region of south-western Serbia and Šumadija fell within a range of 6.20-28.00 g with 50% of the cultivars having the fruit weight of 15.00 g.

Similar data for autochthonous plum cultivars were reported by researchers from other countries. In Turkey, for example, Gunes (2003) reported the fruit weight of local plum cultivars in the Tokat province to range from 5.23-25.18 g and from 8.30-29.50 g in the Van province. The results obtained in this study confirmed those provided by the above authors in terms of the high degree of genotypic variability in fruit weight of autochthonous (local) plum cultivars. The cultivars selected in this study were classified as being extremely small in terms of fruit size, whereas the fruits of cultivar 'Crvena durgulja' were the only ones classified as being small (Paunović *et al.*, 1985; Mratinić, 2000; Zanetto *et al.* 2002). 'Crvena durgulja'-fruits are elongated 49 mm long, 44, 10 mm wide, 46, 8 mm thick and weight 60.65 g on average (Botu *et al.*, 2012).

The most dominant fruit shape was rounded in twelve cultivars, followed by ovate - in four cultivars, elliptical - in three cultivars and oblong - in one cultivar ('Grkaja'). Ground color in most of the cultivars was light green (10) and light yellow (6), being yellow in cv. 'Trnovača', cv. 'Dupljanka' and cv. 'Grkaja'. Skin color ranged from white yellow (1) and red (1) and violet (1) and blue (1) and dark blue (1), and to dark violet (2), black (2), mahagoni (4) to red violet (7 cultivars). Flesh color was yellow green in most cultivars (12) and light yellow only in cv. 'Plavski piskavac' and amber only in cv. 'Dupljanka'.

Table 2. Pomological and sensorial characteristics of autochthonous plum cultivars in the Region of North Montenegro (2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and average)

Cultivar		fruit	fruit	fruit	ground	1	flesh	flash	use	stone
			size	shape	colour	colour	colour	Firmness		weight (g)
		weight (g)	1	2	3	*	**	***	****	weight (g)
	2010	13.24±0.082	1	2	2	5	3	5	2	1.29±0.044
	2011	13.13±0.089	1	2	2	5	3	5	2	1.22±0.038
Petrovača	2012	12.99±0.069	1	2	2	5	3	5	2	1.10±0.022
	2013	13.08±0.072	1	2	2	5	3	5	2	1.15±0.024
	average	13.11±0.078e	1	2	2	5	3	5	2	1.19±0.032c
	2010	16.33±0.220	1	4	2	3	5	3	2	1.51±0.079
	2011	16.27±0.230	1	4	2	3	5	3	2	1.47±0.079
Mednica	2012	15.99±0.234	1	4	2	3	5	3	2	1.40±0.074
	2013	15.77±0.208	1	4	2	3	5	3	2	1.34±0.068
	average	16.09±0.223 e	1	4	2	3	5	3	2	1.43±0.075 c
	2010	11.95±0.090	1	3	2	8	3	5	2	0.61±0.009
	2011	11.92±0.085	1	3	2	8	3	5	2	0.52±0.006
Kapavac	2012	11.86±0.081	1	3	2	8	3	5	2	0.55±0.007
	2013	11.79±0.080	1	3	2	8	3	5	2	0.44±0.006
	average	11.88±0.084 e	1	3	2	8	3	5	2	0.53±0.007 d
	2010	14.89±0.782	1	6	4	2	2	5	2	0.99±0.080
Grkaja	2011	14.80±0.795	1	6	4	2	2	5	2	0.97±0.080
	2012	14.76±0.748	1	6	4	2	2	5	2	0.88±0.074
	2013	14.67±0.787	1	6	4	2	2	5	2	0.76±0.066
	average	14.78±0.778 e	1	6	4	2	2	5	2	0.90±0.075 d
	2010	19.45±0.051	1	4	3	3	3	5	1.2	0.74 ± 0.006
	2011	19.42±0.050	1	4	3	3	3	5	1.2	0.81±0.004
Crvena ranka	2012	19.25±0.040	1	4	3	3	3	5	1.2	0.59 ± 0.003
	2013	19.08±0.023	1	4	3	3	3	5	1.2	0.50±0.003
	average	19.30±0.041e	1	4	3	3	3	5	1.2	0.66±0.004 d
	2010	35.84±0.311	2	2	3	3	2	5	2	1.91±0.041
	2011	35.60±0.310	2	2	3	3	2	5	2	1.90±0.035
Mudara	2012	35.60±0.298	2	2	3	3	2	5	2	1.85±0.033
	2013	35.36±0.277	2	2	3	3	2	5	2	1.7±0.031
	average	35.60±0.299 c	2	2	3	3	2	5	2	1.87±0.035 b
	2010	14.15±0.318	1	2	3	0	3	3	2	1.12±0.031
	2011	14.12±0.295	1	2	3	0	3	3	2	1.03±0.028
Belošljiva	2012	13.85±0.280	1	2	3	0	3	3	2	0.95±0.022
	2013	13.48±0.307	1	2	3	0	3	3	2	0.86±0.019
	average	13.90±0.300e	1	2	3	0	3	3	2	0.99±0.025 d
	2010	12.95±0.225	1	3	2	7	3	7	2	0.58±0.011
	2011	12.85±0.222	1	3	2	7	3	7	2	0.52±0.011
Crnošljiva	2012	12.55±0.217	1	3	2	7	3	7	2	0.49±0.008
	2013	12.73±0.220	1	3	2	7	3	7	2	0.41±0.006
	average	12.77±0.221 e	1	3	2	7	3	7	2	0.50±0.009 d

<u>268</u> Šebek

	2010	19.22±0.062	1	2	2	4	3	5	2	0.92±0.023
	2011	19.11±0.058	1	2	2	4	3	5	2	0.88±0.021
Šara	2012	18.95±0.055	1	2	2	4	3	5	2	0.78±0.017
	2013	18.80±0.053	1	2	2	4	3	5	2	0.70±0.015
	average	19.02±0.057 e	1	2	2	4	3	5	2	0.82±0.019d
	2010	18.55±0.088	1	2	3	3	3	7	2	0.80±0.008
	2011	18.44±0.080	1	2	3	3	3	7	2	0.74±0.010
Metlaš	2012	18.29±0.062	1	2	3	3	3	7	2	0.68±0.007
	2013	18.04±0.054	1	2	3	3	3	7	2	0.58±0.011
	average	18.33±0.071e	1	2	3	3	3	7	2	0.70±0.009 d
	2010	53.99±0.662	3	3	3	3	5	5	2	2.35±0.722
	2010	53.99±0.6659	3	3	3	3	5	5	2	2.35 ± 0.722 2.25 ± 0.710
Crvena	2011	53.84±0.650	3	3	3	3	5	5	2	2.23 ± 0.710 2.18 ± 0.705
durgulja	2012	53.79±0.645	3	3	3	3	5	5	2	2.18 ± 0.703 2.02 ± 0.707
		53.88±0.654a	3	3	3	3	5	5	2	2.02±0.707 2.20±0.711a
	average	33.00±0.034a	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	2.20±0.711a
	2010	6.69±0.238	1	2	4	7	4	5	2	0.59±0.021
Plavski	2011	6.67±0.235	1	2	4	7	4	5	2	0.54±0.020
	2012	6.63±0.229	1	2	4	7	4	5	2	0.48±0.013
piskavac	2013	6.61±0.238	1	2	4	7	4	5	2	0.47±0.006
	averae	6.65±0.235 f	1	2	4	7	4	5	2	0.52±0.015 d
	2010	22.85±0.325	1	2	2	9	3	5	2	1.66±0.016
	2011	20.15±0.266	1	2	2	9	3	5	2	1.61±0.014
Turgulja	2012	20.84±0.220	1	2	2	9	3	5	2	1.57±0.012
<i>U</i> 3	2013	19.80±0.073	1	2	2	9	3	5	2	1.52±0.010
	average	20.91±0.221 d	1	2	2	9	3	5	2	1.59±0.013c
	2010	13.75±0.053	1	2	2	6	3	5	2	0.82±0.006
01:4:	2011	13.70±0.046	1	2	2	6	3	5	2	0.74±0.004
Obični	2012	13.55±0.040	1	2	2	6	3	5	2	0.70±0.002
Piskavac	2013	13.48±0.029	1	2	2	6	3	5	2	0.70±0.004
	average	13.62±0.042e	1	2	2	6	3	5	2	0.74±0.004 d
	2010	16.99±0.109	1	2	2	7	3	5	2	1.25±0.038
	2011	16.90±0.105	1	2	2	7	3	5	2	1.22±0.035
Komperuša	2012	16.84±0.103	1	2	2	7	3	5	2	1.15±0.029
1	2013	16.79±0.107	1	2	2	7	3	5	2	1.14±0.030
	average	16.88±0.106e	1	2	2	7	3	5	2	1.19±0.033c
	2010		1	2	3	3	2	5	2	1.26±0.092
	2011	18.58±0.129	1	2	3	3	2	5	2	1.22±0.087
Mudovalj	2012	18.51±0.128	1	2	3	3	2	5	2	1.17±0.082
ividdovaij	2013	18.49±0.111	1	2	3	3	2	5	2	1.11±0.083
		18.55±0.125 e	1	2	3	3	2	5	2	1.19±0.086c
	average									+
	average 2010		1	2	2	5	3	5	2	1.03±0.19
	2010	21.05±0.244	1 1	2 2	2 2	5 5	3	5 5	2 2	1.03±0.19 1.03±0.15
Dronga	2010 2011	21.05±0.244 20.00±0.240	1	2	2	5	3	5	2	1.03±0.15
Dronga	2010	21.05±0.244								

	2010	22.72±0.25	1	4	4	3	6	5	2	1.93±0.495
	2011	22.68±0.23	1	4	4	3	6	5	2	1.87±0.425
Dupljanka	2012	22.62±0.20	1	4	4	3	6	5	2	1.82±0.445
	2013	22.62±0.20	1	4	4	3	6	5	2	1.90±0.395
	average	22.66±0.22 d	1	4	4	3	6	5	2	1.88±0.440 b
	2010	23.80 ± 0.267	1	2	2	9	3	5	2	1.90±0.022
	2011	23.56±0.256	1	2	2	9	3	5	2	1.84±0.025
Jesenka	2012	23.83±0.250	1	2	2	9	3	5	2	1.78±0.023
	2013	24.05±0.231	1	2	2	9	3	5	2	1.72±0.022
	average	23.81±0.251d	1	2	2	9	3	5	2	1.81±0.023b
	2010	7.25±0.012	1	2	1	7	2	7	2	0.18 ± 0.004
	2011	7.18±0.011	1	2	1	7	2	7	2	0.14 ± 0.003
Trnovača	2012	7.25±0.015	1	2	1	7	2	7	2	0.14 ± 0.003
	2013	7.24±0.022	1	2	1	7	2	7	2	0.18 ± 0.002
	average	7.23±0.01f	1	2	1	7	2	7	2	0.16±0.003 e
	LSD0.05	4.82								0.26
	LSD0.01	6.39								0.36
TDDGD	1 7 7 7 7 7 7		- D1							

IBPGR and UPOV Descriptor List for Plum:

6=medium/large, 7=large, 8=very large, 9=extremely large

As for flesh firmness, it was medium in 15 cultivars, firm in three and soft in two cultivars. The fruits of all the cultivars could be used for different types of processing, particularly for plum brandy production (Joshi and Sandhu, 2000). 'Crvena ranka' can be used fresh (Mratinić, 2000). 'Crvena ranka' fruits can be consumed immediately. Fruits are also used to produce an alcoholic drink that is called "Raki" in Albanian (Botu et al., 2012) The autochthonous plum cultivar 'Crvena ranka' is cultivated in the Sumadija area (Serbia) since ancient times as a typical brandy cultivar (Mratinić, 2012). Althought it produces excellent quality brandy, it is less and extensively cultivated. The consequence of this type of production is irregular bearing, low yields and small atypical fruits of lower quality. Mratinić (2012) pointed out the study which aim was to determine the influence of necessary agro- and pomo-technical practices such as pruning and fertilizing to improve yields and fruit quality of this cultivar. In cultivar 'Crvena ranka', manure - agrozel combination achieved the highest yields, fruit weight (19.4 g) and fruit quality (17% soluble solid content, 13,25% total sugars and 1.05% total aciditivity).

¹ Fruit size: 1=extremely small, 2=very small; 3=small, 4=small/medium, 5=medium,

² Fruit shape: 2 = rounded, 3 = elliptical, 4 = ovate, 6 = oblong;

³ Ground color: 1=green, 2=light green, 3=light yellow, 4=yellow, 5=deep yellow

^{*}Skin color: 0=white yellow, 1=pink, 2=red, 3=red violet, 4=violet, 5=dark violet, 6=blue,

⁷⁼mahagony, 8=dark blue, 9=black

^{**}Flesh color: 1=green, 2=light green, 3=yellow-green, 4=light yellow, 5=yellow, 6=amber,

⁷⁼light orange, 8=orange, 9=red

^{***} Flesh firmness: 3 = soft, 5 = medium, 7 = firm; **** Use: 1 = fresh, 2 = processing, 4 = other (drying)

<u>270</u> Šebek

Table 3. Morphological and quantitative characteristics of one-year old seedlings for autochthonous brandy cultivars of plum on Myrobalan seedling (*Prunus cerasifera Erhr.*).(2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and average)

Cultiva	ır	Plant	Stem	Branchi	Unifor		Grow	Unifor
		height	diameter	ng	mity	(%)	of	mity of
		(cm)	(mm)				scions	scions
							(cm)	
Petrovača	2010	88.7	8.0	1	2	64	156.2	2
	2011	86.0	7.8	1	2	54	151.6	2
	2012	85.9	7.7	1	2	52	150.2	2
	2013	84.2	7.7	1	2	50	143.6	2
	average	86.2 c	7.8 c	1	2	55 e	150.4 d	2
Mednica	2010	120.5	11.5	2	2	90	200.5	2
	2011	121.0	11	2	2	88	196.5	2
	2012	108.5	10.2	2	2	95	198.0	2
	2013	107.6	8.1	2	2	95	197.0	2
	average	114.4 a	10.2 ab	2	2	92 a	198.0 a	2
Kapavac	2010	74.0	7.4	4	1	70	192.8	1
	2011	71.5	7.0	4	1	65	190.2	1
	2012	73.2	7.1	4	1	75	192.0	1
	2013	74.1	7.3	4	1	62	206.6	1
	average	73.2 c	7.2 c	4	1	68 d	195.4 a	1
Grkaja	2010	113.0	9.8	2	1	85	190.5	1
	2011	115.0	10.0	2	1	90	191.5	1
	2012	104.4	9.0	2	1	89	188.5	1
	2013 average	114.4	9.2	2	1	88	183.1	1
	ŭ	111.7 a	9.5 b	2	1	88 ab	188.4 b	1
Crvena ranka	2010	112.8	10.8	2	1	87	197.4	2
	2011	112.0	10.2	2	1	85	194.5	2
	2012	116.5	9.8	2	1	75	198.0	2
	2013 average	99.5	8.4	2	1	93	190.1	2
36.1	_	110.2 ab	9.8 ab	2	1	85 b	195.0a	2
Mudara	2010	131.5	12	1	2	91	160.5	2
	2011	133.5	11.8	1	2	95	165.0	2
	2012	129.0	11.4	1	2	98	150.0	2
	2013 average	120.8	10.4	1	2	96	144.5	2
D 1 VI''		128.7a	11.4a	1	2	95a	155.0d	2
Belošljiva	2010	111.2	8.6	2 2	1	75	201.0	1
	2011	110.2	8.2	$\frac{2}{2}$	1	78	199.2	1
	2012	107.8	7.8		1	68	195.2	1
	2013 average	103.6	7.4 8.0ba	2 2	1 1	59 70 a	194.6	1 1
Crnošljiva	2010	108.2 b	8.0 bc 9.4	4	1	70 c	197.5 a 195.4	1
Cinosijiva	2010		9.4 9.4	4	1	82	195.4 195.2	1
	2011	110.4 104.5	9.4	4	1	82 78	195.2 198.4	1
	2012	104.5	9.0 9.0	4	1	78 83	198.4	1
	2013 average	109.1 109.7 b	9.0 9.2 b	4	1 1	77bc	185.0 193.5 a	1 1
		109./D	9.4 0	4	1	11DC	193.5a	1

Sara 2010 126.4 11.5 1 1 80 153.0 2 2011 121.0 10.5 1 1 72 155.5 2 2012 120.5 10.2 1 1 74 145.0 2 2013 118.5 9.8 1 1 74 132.5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Sara	2010	1264	11 /	- 1				
December Color C	Sara	2010	120.4	11.5	1	I	80	153.0	2
December Color C		2011	121.0	10.5	1	1	72	155.5	2
Metlaš 2010 89.5 8.5 3 1 84 162.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		2012	120.5	10.2	1	1	74	145.0	
Metla8 2010 89.5 8.5 3 1 84 162.0 1								1	
Metlaš 2010 89.5 8.5 3									
2011	Matlač								
2012 87.5 7.7 3 1 62 154.5 1 2013 88.0 7.5 3 1 54 146.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Wictias						_		
Response									
Crvena 2010 136.8 12.8 1 1 97 208.5 1 2011 132.5 12.4 1 1 98 206.5 1 2012 128.5 11.7 1 1 99 202.8 1 2013 129.8 11.1 1 1 98 202.2 1 2013 129.8 11.1 1 1 98 205.0a 1 2014 2015 2015 2016								1	
Crvena durgulja 2011 132.5 12.4 1 1 98 206.5 1 2012 128.5 11.7 1 1 99 202.8 1 2013 129.8 11.1 1 1 98 202.2 1 31.9a 12.0a 1 1 98a 205.0a 1								1	
durgulja 2011 132.5 12.4 1 1 98 206.5 1 2012 128.5 11.7 1 1 99 202.8 1 2013 129.8 11.1 1 1 98 202.2 1 2013 129.8 11.1 1 1 98 202.5 0a 1 2010 54.8 6.5 3 1 38 142.3 1 2012 52.0 5.9 3 1 42 125.4 1 2013 52.7 5.1 3 1 52 117.5 1 2013 52.7 5.1 3 1 52 117.5 1 2010 93.2 9.0 3 1 79 163.2 1 2011 92.5 8.5 3 1 76 158.6 1 2012 89.5 7.8 3 1 79 147.6 1 2013 90.4 6.7 3 1 79 147.6 1 2013 90.4 6.7 3 1 77bc 155.6d 1 2012 111.5 9.0 2 1 88 203.2 1 2013 109.1 8.2 2 1 88 203.2 1 2013 109.1 8.2 2 1 86 191.1 1 1 2013 112.0 110.0 2 1 85 197.2a 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 85 197.2a 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 88 159.0 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 88 159.0 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 88 159.0 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 88 159.0 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 98 159.0 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 98 159.0 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 98 159.0 1 2013 110.0 9.2 2 2 2 76 201.5 2 2013 109.0 9.2 2 2 2 76 201.5 2 2013 109.0 9.2 2 2 75 200.0a 2 2013 104.9 8.5 2 2 75 200.0a 2 2013 104.9 8.5 2 2 75 200.0a 2 2013 104.9 8.5 2 2 75 200.0a 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 95 191.5 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 95 191.5 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 2 95 191.5 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2									
2012 128.5 11.7 1 1 99 202.8 1 2013 129.8 11.1 1 1 98 202.2 1 3 3 12.0a 1 1 98a 205.0a 1 2010 54.8 6.5 3 1 38 142.3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								1	
Plavski 2010 54.8 6.5 3 1 38 142.3 1 2012 52.0 5.9 3 1 48 138.0 1 2013 52.7 5.1 3 1 55 117.5 1 2011 99.4 2011 99.5 2011 99.5 1 2011 99.5 1 2011 99.5 1 2011 99.5 1 2011 99.5 1 2011 99.5 1 2011 99.5 2011 122.0 11.0 2012 175.5 1 2013 109.5 2013 109.5 2013 109.6 109.5 2013 109.6 2014 109.5 2015 2015 2016 2017 2017 2017 2017 2018 2018 2019 2	durgulja							1	
Plavski 2010 54.8 6.5 3 1 38 142.3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					1	1		202.8	1
Plavski piskavac 2010		2013	129.8	11.1	_		98	202.2	
piskavac 2011 54.1 6.5 3 1 48 138.0 1 2012 52.0 5.9 3 1 42 125.4 1 1 2013 52.7 5.1 3 1 52 117.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		average	131.9 a	12.0 a		1	98 a	205.0 a	1
piskavac 2011 54.1 6.5 3 1 48 138.0 1 2012 52.0 5.9 3 1 42 125.4 1 1 2013 52.7 5.1 3 1 52 117.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Plavski	2010	54.8	6.5	3	1	38	142.3	1
Color	piskavac		54.1	6.5		1	48	138.0	1
Turgulja	1								
Turgulja									
Turgulja 2010 93.2 9.0 3 1 79 163.2 1 2011 92.5 8.5 3 1 76 158.6 1 2012 89.5 7.8 3 1 74 153.0 1 2013 90.4 6.7 3 1 79 147.6 1 Severage 91.4bc 8.0bc 3 1 77bc 155.6d 1 Obični 2010 118.5 10.8 2 1 88 203.2 1 Piskavac 2011 114.5 10.0 2 1 82 199.5 1 2012 111.5 9.0 2 1 84 195.0 1 2013 109.1 8.2 2 1 86 191.1 1 Exerge 113.4a 9.5b 2 1 85b 197.2a 1 Komperuša 2010 125.5 11.5 2 1 78 195.5 1 2011 122.0 11.0 2 1 85 190.0 1 2012 117.5 10.6 2 1 91 175.5 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 98 159.0 1 Mudovalj 2010 109.5 9.2 2 2 80 202.5 2 2011 109.0 9.2 2 2 76 201.5 2 2012 111.0 9.5 2 2 77 209.0 2 2013 104.9 8.5 2 2 77 209.0 2 2014 110.9 8.5 2 2 77 209.0 2 2015 110.6 2 1 191 175.5 2 2016 2017 109.0 9.2 2 2 77 209.0 2 2017 110.0 9.5 2 2 77 209.0 2 2018 108.6b 9.1b 2 2 75c 200.0a 2 Dronga 2010 124.1 11.0 2 2 8 78 204.2 2 2012 116.5 9.5 2 2 99 192.0 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 95 191.5 2									
2011 92.5 8.5 3 1 76 158.6 1 2012 89.5 7.8 3 1 74 153.0 1 2013 90.4 6.7 3 1 79 147.6 1 1 147.6 1 147.6 1 147.6 1 147.6 1 147.6 1 1 147.6 1 147.6 1 147.6 1 147.6 1 147.6 1 1 147.6 1 147.6 1 1 147.6 1 1 147.6 1 1 147.6 1 1 1 147.6 1 1 1 147.6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Turqulia				3				
2012 89.5 7.8 3 1 74 153.0 1 2013 90.4 6.7 3 1 79 147.6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Turguija								
2013									
Nudovalj September Septe									
Obični 2010 118.5 10.8 2 1 88 203.2 1 Piskavac 2011 114.5 10.0 2 1 82 199.5 1 2012 111.5 9.0 2 1 84 195.0 1 2013 109.1 8.2 2 1 86 191.1 1 2013 109.1 8.2 2 1 86 191.1 1 komperuša 2010 125.5 11.5 2 1 78 195.5 1 2011 122.0 11.0 2 1 85 190.0 1 2012 117.5 10.6 2 1 91 175.5 1 2012 117.5 10.6 2 1 98 159.0 1 Mudovalj 2010 109.5 9.2 2 2 80 202.5 2 2011 109.0 9.2 <t< td=""><td></td><td>2013</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		2013							
Piskavac 2011 114.5 10.0 2 1 82 199.5 1 2012 111.5 9.0 2 1 84 195.0 1 2013 109.1 8.2 2 1 86 191.1 1 average 113.4a 9.5b 2 1 86 191.1 1 Komperuša 2010 125.5 11.5 2 1 78 195.5 1 2011 122.0 11.0 2 1 85 190.0 1 2012 117.5 10.6 2 1 91 175.5 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 98 159.0 1 Mudovalj 2010 109.5 9.2 2 2 80 202.5 2 2011 109.0 9.2 2 2 76 201.5 2 2012 111.0 9.5 2 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>									
2012								1	
Romperuša 109.1 8.2 2 1 86 191.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Piskavac							1	
Komperuša 113.4a 9.5b 2 1 85b 197.2a 1						1		1	
Komperuša 2010 125.5 11.5 2 1 78 195.5 1 2011 122.0 11.0 2 1 85 190.0 1 2012 117.5 10.6 2 1 91 175.5 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 98 159.0 1 average 119.5a 10.8a 2 1 88ab 180.0b 1 Mudovalj 2010 109.5 9.2 2 2 80 202.5 2 2011 109.0 9.2 2 2 76 201.5 2 2012 111.0 9.5 2 2 72 209.0 2 2013 104.9 8.5 2 2 72 187.0 2 average 108.6b 9.1b 2 2 78 204.2 2 Dronga 2010 124.1 11.0 2		2013	109.1				86	191.1	
2011 122.0 11.0 2 1 85 190.0 1 2012 117.5 10.6 2 1 91 175.5 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 98 159.0 1 2010 109.5 9.2 2 2 2 80 202.5 2 2011 109.0 9.2 2 2 2 76 201.5 2 2012 111.0 9.5 2 2 72 209.0 2 2013 104.9 8.5 2 2 75c 200.0a 2 2012 118.5 10.6 2 2 87 194.3 2 2012 116.5 9.5 2 2 92 192.0 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 95 191.5 2 2 2013 2010		average	113.4 a	9.5 b		1	85 b	197.2 a	1
2012 117.5 10.6 2 1 91 175.5 1 2013 113.0 10.1 2 1 98 159.0 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Komperuša	2010	125.5	11.5		1	78	195.5	1
Mudovalj 113.0 10.1 2 1 98 159.0 1	_	2011	122.0	11.0	2	1	85	190.0	1
Mudovalj 113.0 10.1 2 1 98 159.0 1		2012	117.5	10.6	2	1	91	175.5	1
Average 119.5a 10.8a 2 1 88ab 180.0b 1 Mudovalj 2010 109.5 9.2 2 2 80 202.5 2 2011 109.0 9.2 2 2 76 201.5 2 2012 111.0 9.5 2 2 72 209.0 2 2013 104.9 8.5 2 2 72 187.0 2 average 108.6b 9.1b 2 2 75c 200.0a 2 Dronga 2010 124.1 11.0 2 2 78 204.2 2 2011 118.5 10.6 2 2 87 194.3 2 2012 116.5 9.5 2 2 92 192.0 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 95 191.5 2						1			1
Mudovalj 2010 109.5 9.2 2 2 80 202.5 2 2011 109.0 9.2 2 2 76 201.5 2 2012 111.0 9.5 2 2 72 209.0 2 2013 104.9 8.5 2 2 72 187.0 2 average 108.6b 9.1b 2 2 75c 200.0a 2 Dronga 2010 124.1 11.0 2 2 78 204.2 2 2011 118.5 10.6 2 2 87 194.3 2 2012 116.5 9.5 2 2 92 192.0 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 95 191.5 2						1	88 ab		
2011 109.0 9.2 2 2 76 201.5 2 2012 111.0 9.5 2 2 72 209.0 2 2013 104.9 8.5 2 2 72 187.0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Mudovali		109.5			2			2
2012 111.0 9.5 2 2 72 209.0 2 2013 104.9 8.5 2 2 72 187.0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2									
2013 104.9 8.5 2 2 72 187.0 2 2 2 75c 200.0a 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					$\frac{1}{2}$				
Dronga 108.6b 9.1b 2 2 75c 200.0a 2 Dronga 2010 124.1 11.0 2 2 78 204.2 2 2011 118.5 10.6 2 2 87 194.3 2 2012 116.5 9.5 2 2 92 192.0 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 95 191.5 2			1						
Dronga 2010 124.1 11.0 2 2 78 204.2 2 2011 118.5 10.6 2 2 87 194.3 2 2012 116.5 9.5 2 2 92 192.0 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 95 191.5 2					_	_			_
2011 118.5 10.6 2 2 87 194.3 2 2012 116.5 9.5 2 2 92 192.0 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 95 191.5 2	Drongo								
2012 116.5 9.5 2 2 92 192.0 2 2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 95 191.5 2	Dioliga							1	
2013 116.9 8.9 2 2 95 191.5 2									
								1	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
	_ ·· ·	average	119.0a	10.0ab	2	2	88 ab	195.5 a	2
Dupljanka 2010 127.5 11.5 2 1 89 199.5 1	Dupljanka							1	
2011 125.0 11.0 2 1 86 198.0 1					2				
2012 125.5 11.0 2 1 82 198.2 1					2	1			
2013 124.8 10.5 2 1 87 197.4 1		2013							
hygrage 125 7a 11 0a 2 1 86h 108 2a 1		average	125.7 a	11.0 a	2	1	86 b	198.2 a	1

Žebek Šebek

Jesenka	2010	104.2	9.4	2	1	73	177.2	1
	2011	102.3	9.0	2	1	77	174.0	1
	2012	100.5	8.8	2	1	70	170.2	1
	2013	100.2	8.0	2	1	72	172.6	1
	average	101.8 ab	8.8 b	2	1	73 c	173.5 c	1
Trnovača	2010	57.8	6.8	3	1	42	132.8	1
	2011	57.2	6.8	3	1	45	132.0	1
	2012	56.8	6.2	3	1	49	131.5	1
	2013	57.8	6.2	3	1	56	132.5	1
	average	57.4 d	6.5 c	3	1	48 e	132.2 e	1
	LSD0.05 LSD0.01	13.1	1.25			0.41	14.02	
	L3D0.01	17.4	1.66			0.47	17.94	

Similar data for Serbian autochthonous plum cultivars in terms of pomological, physical and sensorial characteristics were reported by Paunović *et al.*, 1985; Paunović, 1988; Petrović *et al.*, 2002; and Milošević and Milošević , 2012, and data on local cultivars grown in the former Yugoslavia were given by Jovančević, 1977; Jarebica and Muratović, 1977; Usenik *et al.*, 2007; Jelačić *et al.*, 2008. Stone weight ranged from 0.16 ± 0.003 g ('Trnovača') to 2.20 ± 0.711 g ('Crvena durgulja'), which was in similar with the results obtained by Paunović et al., 1985; Paunović ,1988; Paunović and Paunović ,1994; Mratinić, 2000; and Milošević and Milošević, 2012. Those obtained values, particularly those for fruit weight and fruit size, were lower than the ones reported for standard commercial cultivars, both foreign and domestic ones.

The fact that substantial climate- and soil-dependent variations could occur in the above traits should be taken into account. Importantly, some cultivars are found to be promising in terms of fruit traits. Almost all the fruits can be processed, particularly into plum brandy, or used fresh ('Crvena ranka'). More importantly, the autochthonous (primitive, local) cultivars or accessions observed in this study can be used as an outstanding genetic basis and source of germplasm in plum breeding aimed at developing new cultivars and rootstocks (Đuric *et al.*, 1998; Esmenjaud and Direlewanger, 2007).

The results of this research show that the plant height, stem diameter, branching and uniformity of one-year old seedlings of autochthonous plum cultivars are genetic characteristics of autochthonous plum cultivars, from which rapid growth and uniformity of scions depend (tab. 3). The plant height of one-year old seedlings of researched autochthonous cultivars of plum was from 53.4 cm (cv. 'Plavski piskavac'), to 131.9 cm (cv. 'Crvena durgulja'). The stem diameter of researched one-year old seedlings of autochthonous cultivars of plum was from 6mm (cv. 'Plavski piskavac'), to 12 mm (cv. 'Crvena durgulja'). The most significant nursery characteristics which must be estimated in selection of autochthonous plum cultivar are ability to propagate, growth-rate, uniformity and compatibility (Vachun, 1995). In most of autochthonous cultivars of plum height and steam diameter at the height of 10 cm above the ground were sufficient for successful grafting in August (tab. 3). The bud take data of researched one-year

old seedlings of autochthonous cultivars of plum was from 48 % ('Trnovača') to 98% ('Crvena durgulja'). The cultivars 'Crvena durgulja' and 'Mudara', whose but take data was 98% and 95%, were also very interesting from the aspect of economic production of one-year old seedlings autochthonous plum cultivars.

Most of the germplasm resources have never been subjected to proper germplasm conservation research work. Many local types of genetic value have already disappeared or will be lost in the next few years without any possibility of recovery. Fortunately genetic resources in sparsely populated and less developed areas of Serbia and Montenegro have been less eroded. The main objective of this work was selection of old autochthonous cultivars with better bio-agronomic characteristics such as uniformity of growth, high productivity, reduction of vigour and adaptation to the pedology-climatic environment.

However, since the results obtained in this study are only preliminary, reliable estimation will be possible only through a multi-disciplinary approach to examining selected cultivars grown in a collection orchard as well as through further findings to be attained under field and laboratory conditions over the next five to ten years.

CONCLUSIONS

The onset of flowering was recorded in the last five days of March and in the first twelve days of April. The earliest onset of flowering was observed in cv. 'Trnovača' (26.03) derived from P. insititia L., and the latest in cv. 'Dupljanka' (12.04) derived from P. domestica L. Among the twenty cultivars examined, eight (40%) started to flower at the end of March, and twelve (60%) during the middle of the first twelve-day period of April. The full flowering stage lasted from 30 March ('Trnovača') to 18th April ('Dupljanka'), and the end of flowering from 7th April ('Trnovača') to 24th April ('Dupljanka'). Flowering lasted 9 dazs for cultivars 'Turgulja', 'Plavski piskavac', 'Grkaja', 'Kapavac and Komperuša') to 14 days ('Crvena durgulja', 'Mednica', 'Petrovača', 'Belošljiva' and 'Šara').

The harvest period was longer than the flowering period, as it lasted from 13th July ('Petrovača') to 18th September ('Trnovača').

The fruit weight ranged from 6.65 ± 0.235 g ('Plavski piskavac') to 53.88 ± 0.654 g ('Crvena durgulja'). The most dominant fruit shape was rounded - in twelve cultivars, followed by ovate - in four cultivars, elliptical - in 3 cultivars and oblong – in one cultivar ('Grkaja').

Ground color in most of the cultivars was light green (10) and light yellow (6), being yellow in cv. 'Trnovača', cv. 'Dupljanka' and cv. 'Grkaja'. Skin color ranged from white yellow (1), red (1), violet (1), blue (1), dark blue (1) to dark violet (2), black (2), mahagoni (4) to red violet (7 cultivars). Flesh color was yellow green in most cultivars (12) and light yellow only in cv. 'Plavski piskavac' and amber only in cv. 'Dupljanka'.

As for flesh firmness, it was medium in 15 cultivars, firm in tree and soft in two cultivars.

Žebek Šebek

Stone weight ranged from 0.16 ± 0.003 g ('Trnovača') to $2.20 \pm 0,711$ g ('Crvena durgulja').

All the fruits could be processed, and cv. 'Crvena ranka' could be used fresh. The autochthonous plum cultivars or accessions observed in this study could serve as an outstanding genetic basis and a source of germplasm for plum breeding aimed at developing new cultivars and as cultivars for organic plum orchards.

The results of this research show that the plant height, stem diameter, branching and uniformity of one-year old seedlings are genetic characteristics of autochthonous plum cultivars, from which rapid growth and uniformity of scions depend. From the aspect of production of one-year old seedlings and evaluation of scions, the most interesting autochthonous plum cultivars are 'Mednica' and 'Mudara'.

REFERENCES

- Buljko, M. (1977). Some characteristics of the Japanese variety Florentia (Prunus triflora) grown in ecological conditions of Herzegovina. Acta Hort., 74,137-142.
- Botu, M., Tomić, L., Cvetković, M., Gjamovski, V., Jemrić, T., Lazović, B., Ognjanov, V., Pintea, M., Sevo, R., Acnim, G., Bozović, Dj., Carka, F., Čiček, D., Fruk, G., Jaćimović, V., Kiprijanovski, M., Juraveli, A., Hjalmarsson. I. (2012). Balkan plum pomology. Review of the Monograph. ISBN 978-91-637-0272-3
- Đurić, G., Micić, N., Lučić, P. (1998). Growth and bearing potential of plum cultivars Stanley and Pozegaca on the two stock/interstock combinations and on Myrobalan. Acta Hort., 478, 225-228.
- Esmenjaud, D., Direlewanger, E. (2007). Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants. In-Fruits and Nuts Plum, ed. C. Kole. Springer, Netherland, pp. 119-13
- Eremeev,G.N. (1964).Opredelenie zasuhoustojčivosti plodovih i drugih drevesnih rastenij. Fiziologija rastenij,106,722-727
- Funt, R.C. (1998). Plums: A guide to selection and use. Ohio State University Extension Fact Sheet.
- Gunes, M. (2003). Some local plum varieties grown in Tokat province Pakistan J. Appl. Sci.3: 291-295.
- Jarebica, S.D., Muratović, S.A. (1977). Some properties of growth and productivity of plum cultivars in Bosnia. Acta Hort., 74,125-127.
- Jelačić, T., Dermić, E., Halapija-Kazija, D., Vujević, P., Savić, Z., Bisko, A., Cvetković. B. (2008). Analysis of autochthonous plum cultivars (Prunus domestica L.) in Croatia for the presence of Plum Pox Virus. J. Plant Pathol. 90, 3-7.
- Jovančević, R. (1977). Biological and economic properties of some outstanding prune cultivars grown in the River Valley. Acta Hort. 74, 129-136.
- Joshi, K.V., Sandhu, K.D. (2000). Influence of ethanol concentration, addition of spices extract, and level of sweetness on physico-chemical characteristics and sensory quality of apple vermouth. Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol., 43, 537-545.
- Milošević, T. (2000). Bearing potential of standard and selected Požegača. Acta Hort., 536,369-373.
- Milošević, N., Milošević, T. (2012). Phenotypic diversity of autochthonous European (Prunus domestica L.) and Damson (Prunus. institita L.) plum accessions based on multivariate analysis. Hort. Sci. (Prague)., 39(1),8-20.

- Mratinić, E. (2000). The selection of the autochthonous plum cultivars suitable for growing. In-1st International scientific symposium: production, processing and marketing of plums and plum products, Kostunici, Serbia Proceedings, 1,193-196
- Mratinić, E. (2012). Influence of agro and pomotechnical treatmens to yield and fruit quality of Crvena Ranka plum. In-14 st. Serbian congress of fruit and grapevine producers with international participation, Vrnjačka Banja, Serbia Proceedings, IV, 179.
- Ogašanović, D., Ranković, M., Plazinić, R., Papić, V.(1994) Performance of newly-bred plum cultivars and current breeding tendencies. ActHort.359,75-81.
- Paunović, A.S. (1988). Plum cultivars and their improvements in Yugoslavia. Fruit Variet. J., 42:143-151.
- Paunović S., Stanković, D., Madžarević, P., Milošević, P., Kojović, T., Popović, D. (1985). The plum cultivars in Yugoslavia. Exploration, collecting, conservation and exchange of hexaploid species of Prunus domestica L. and Prunus institita L. in Yugoslavia. Faculty of Agronomy, Cacak, Serbia, pp. 1-212
- Paunović, S.A., Paunović, A.S. (1994). Investigations of plum and prune cultivars (Prunus domestica L. and Prunus insititia L.) in situ in SFR Yugoslavia. Acta Hort. 359, 49-54.
- Petrović, R., Miletić, R., Mitrović, M. (2002). Some biological characteristics of introduced plum cultivars. Acta Hort.,577, 239-243.
- Rodrigues, P.S., Lindsey, G.G., Fernandes, B.M.P. (2009). Biotechnological approaches for plant viruses resistance: From general to the modern RNA silencing pathway. Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol., 52, 795-808.
- SAS Institute, (1990). SAS/STAT user's guide, version 8 edition. Vol. 2. Cary, NC: SAS Institute.
- Usenik, V., Štampar, F., Fajt, N. (2007). Pomological and phonological characteristics of some plum cultivars. Acta Hort., 734, 53-59.
- Vachun, Z. (1995). Rootstocks for apricot the current situation and main problems. Acta Hort., 384, 459-465.
- Zanetto, A., Maggoni, L., Tobutt, R.K., Dosba, F. (2002). Prunus genetic resources in Europe: Achievement and perspectives of a networking activity. Genet. Resour. Crop Ev., 49,331-33.